Interview Summary	Application No. 09/943,458			
	Examiner Arun Chakra	barti	Art Unit 1634	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, F	PTO personnel):	OL.	. 11	
(1) <u>Arun Chakrabarti</u>	(3) Dwig	M h	Teller	
(2) Leenn Gorthey	(4) Muy	ali_1	Reddy	
Date of Interview Aug 29, 2003			J	
Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) application		epresentative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) . Yes	e)⊠ No. If yes, b	rief descripti	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> Identification of prior art discussed: All				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was read	ched. g)□ was not re	ached. h)X	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the ger any other comments:	neral nature of what was	is of	lo2(b)	was reached, or persection.
Applicant was suggested	e clearo is			

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.